Parallel multiscale simulations of a brain aneurysm
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Abstract
Cardiovascular pathologies, such as a brain aneurysm, are affected by the global blood circulation as well as by the local microrheology. Hence, developing computational models for such cases requires the coupling of disparate spatial and temporal scales often governed by diverse mathematical descriptions, e.g., by partial differential equations (continuum) and ordinary differential equations for discrete particles (atomistic). However, interfacing atomistic-based with continuum-based domain discretizations is a challenging problem that requires both mathematical and computational advances. We present here a hybrid methodology that enabled us to perform the first multiscale simulations of platelet depositions on the wall of a brain aneurysm. The large scale flow features in the intracranial network are accurately resolved by using the high-order spectral element Navier-Stokes solver $N\varepsilon\kappa T\alpha r$. The blood rheology inside the aneurysm is modeled using a coarse-grained stochastic molecular dynamics approach (the dissipative particle dynamics method) implemented in the parallel code LAMMPS. The continuum and atomistic domains overlap with interface conditions provided by effective forces computed adaptively to ensure continuity of states across the interface boundary. A two-way interaction is allowed with the time-evolving boundary of the (deposited) platelet clusters tracked by an immersed boundary method. The corresponding heterogeneous solvers ($N\varepsilon\kappa T\alpha r$ and LAMMPS) are linked together by a computational multilevel message passing interface that facilitates modularity and high parallel efficiency. Results of multiscale simulations of clot formation inside the aneurysm in a patient-specific arterial
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tree are presented. We also discuss the computational challenges involved and present scalability results of our coupled solver on up to 300K computer processors.
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1. Introduction

Cerebral aneurysms occur in up to 5% of the general population, with a relatively high potential for rupture leading to strokes in about 30,000 Americans each year [1]. There are no quantitative tools to predict the rupture of aneurysms and no consensus exists among medical doctors when exactly to operate on patients with cerebral aneurysms.

The biological processes preceding the aneurysm rupture are not well understood. There are several theories relating the wall shear stress patterns and pressure distribution within aneurysm and pathological changes at cellular level occurring at the arterial wall layers. Realistic simulation of such processes must be based on resolving concurrently the macro- (centimeter) as well as the micro- (sub-micron) scale flow features, and also the interaction of blood cells with the endothelial cells forming the inner layer of the arterial wall. Such complex processes are clearly multiscale in nature necessitating the use of different mathematical models to resolve each scale.

In this publication formation of a clot in brain aneurysm was used as a sample problem. Clots form not only in the brain aneurysm, and their location within an aneurysm can vary. The main focus of this paper is the methodology we propose for multiscale modeling. The methods presented here can be used to simulate clot formation and growth at various locations, and also be applicable in other fields of research.

In this paper, we focus on the brain vasculature, and develop a multiscale methodology based on atomistic and continuum descriptions to study arterial flow in a cerebral aneurysm (CA) including thrombus formation. In the following we review (a) physiological aspects related to the cerebral aneurysms; and (b) advances and issues in multiscale modeling.

1.1. Cerebral aneurysm: overview

Cerebral aneurysms are pathological, blood-filled permanent dilations of intracranial blood vessels usually located near bifurcations in the Circle of
Figure 1: Blood flow in the brain is a multiscale problem. Left: the macrodomain where the large-scale flow dynamics is modeled by the Navier-Stokes equations; different colors correspond to different computational patches. Shown in the inset are the microdomains where dissipative particle dynamics is applied to model the micro-scale features. (Courtesy of Prof. J.R. Madsen, Harvard Medical School). Right: Scanning electron microscope image of the inside layer of a ruptured MCA aneurysm showing the disrupted pattern of endothelial cells and blood cells adhered to the inter-endothelial cell gaps [6].

Willis (CoW) [2, 3, 4, 5], see figure 1. Currently, prospective rupture rates are culled from various studies, but these rates do not take into account patient-specific anatomic and physiologic factors which may affect rupture risk. These limitations are more magnified when considering one paradox that prevails when analyzing rupture rates from the literature: The prospective rate of rupture of anterior circulation aneurysms less than 7mm in size is extremely low [4], but the majority of ruptured aneurysms in most series are anterior circulation aneurysms less than 7mm in size [7]. Analysis of patient-specific anatomic and physiologic data may be used to identify specific patients who might be at higher risk for rupture.

The processes of initiation, growth and rupture of CAs are not well understood, and several – often contrasting - hypotheses on the modified hemodynamics have emerged in recent years, e.g. see the recent reviews in [5, 8, 3, 2] and references therein. While one would expect that aneurysm rupture be associated with high pressure or high wall shear stress (WSS) magnitude, this is not the case with CAs as no elevated peak pressure and typically low WSS values are observed within the aneurysm.
Histological observations have revealed a degeneration of endothelial cells (EC) and degradation of the intracellular matrix of the arterial walls due to decreasing density of smooth muscle cells (SMCs) [9, 10]. WSS is related to the endothelial gene expression, and in laminar flow produces a quiescent phenotype protecting from inflammation or cell apoptosis. However, flow instabilities and oscillatory WSS can trigger certain genetic traits that affect the elastic properties of the arterial wall [11, 12]. For example, recent studies reported that low WSS levels in oscillatory flow can cause irregular EC patterns, potentially switching from an atheroprotective to an atherogenic phenotype [13, 14, 12, 2]. On the other hand, low WSS can also be protective as it leads to thickening of arterial walls, which then becomes more tolerant to mechanical loads. Hence, by simply examining the magnitude of WSS we cannot arrive at a consistent theory of aneurysm growth or rupture. To this end, experimental studies with ECs subjected to impinging flow in [12, 15] have shown the importance of WSS gradients (WSSG) (rather than the magnitude) on the migration of ECs downstream of the stagnation region.

Perhaps the most dramatic evidence on the role of ECs and their interaction with blood cells has been documented in a Japanese clinical study comparing ruptured and unruptured aneurysms [6]. Ruptured aneurysms exhibited significant endothelial damage and inflammatory cell invasion compared with unruptured aneurysms; see figure 1(right) for a typical image. We observe that the EC layer is drastically altered and covered with blood cells and a fibrin network. Similarly, it was reported in [6] that leukocytes (White Cells, WCs) in the wall could be associated with subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), and endothelial erosion enhances leukocyte invasion of the wall before rupture.

Damaged EC typically initiates a thrombus (blood clot) formation process. One of the most important building blocks in the clot is a 2−3µm in size blood cell called platelet. The role of platelets has not been fully explored in experimental work, but clinical tests have documented the existence of spontaneous thrombosis in giant aneurysms [16, 17, 18] due to platelet deposition. Thrombus formation within the aneurysm is non-uniform due to the complex flow patterns and the interaction of platelets with the damaged EC layer [19]. Similarly, it was found in endovascular studies that creation of spontaneous thrombosis occurs after stent placement [20]. In other studies, enhanced platelet aggregability has been observed in cerebral vasospasm following aneurysmal SAH [21]. B. Furie and B. C. Furie reviewed two independent pathways to thrombus formation [22]. Platelets activation may start
due to exposure of blood cells to either subendothelial collagen or thrombin generated by tissue factor derived from the vessel wall. The authors also emphasized that large scale flow features such as shear and turbulence affect the thrombus formation process.

A key question regarding the process of aneurysm progression is how to correlate the blood cell dynamics and interactions to the complex flow patterns observed within the aneurysms. To this end, there are currently two schools of thought: high-flow effects and low-flow effects [2]. The former suggests that localized elevated WSS cause endothelial injury that causes an overexpression of NO production, which in turn can lead to apoptosis of SMCs and subsequent wall weakening. The latter points to the stagnation type flow in the dome of the aneurysm, which also causes irregular production of NO. This dysfunction of flow-induced NO leads to the aggregation of red blood cells and the accumulation and adhesion of platelets and leukocytes along the intimal surface (the first layer of the arterial wall). This, in turn, may damage the intima, allowing for infiltration of white blood cells (WBC) and fibrin [23]. To this end, to advance our understanding, we need to investigate scenarios beyond the pure mechanical point of view. In particular, we need to understand the role of endothelial cells and their interactions with the blood cells, i.e., platelets, RBCs and WBCs.

1.2. Multi-scale modeling of blood flow

Modeling the blood flow as a multiscale phenomenon, using coupled continuum-atomistic models is essential to better understand the thrombus formation process. Seamless integration of heterogeneous computer codes based on continuum models with codes that implement atomistic-level descriptions is key to the successful realization of parallel multiscale modeling of realistic physical and biological systems.

Multiscale hybrid approaches [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31] can potentially provide an elegant solution for non-feasible micro-scale simulations performed in very large computational domains. The main challenge in developing efficient hybrid methods [32] is formulating robust interface conditions coupling multiple descriptions (e.g., continuum, atomistic, mesoscopic) such that the main physical quantities (mass, momentum, and energy) and their fluxes are conserved at the domain interface. In general, most existing hybrid methods can be classified into two main categories of coupling approaches [32]: (i) the state-exchange method [24, 28, 29, 30, 33, 31] and (ii) the flux-exchange method [25, 26, 27] or their combination.
The *state-exchange* method relies on the state information (e.g., boundary velocity) which is transferred between various descriptions across the interfaces of (in most cases) overlapping domains. In the overlapping region all participating descriptions must be valid and the constrained dynamics is often imposed via a dynamic relaxation technique [30]. The state-exchange method is closely related to the alternating Schwarz method [34], where the integration in continuum and atomistic domains is performed in a sequential or simultaneous fashion with a consecutive coupling strategy between different domains. The main drawback of the state-exchange method is that the information propagation (e.g., flow development) through the interface may be unphysical, resulting in a slow convergence to steady-state for steady-flow problems or in significant restrictions of the method’s applicability to fast unsteady flows. In general, the state-exchange method can properly handle unsteady flows which can be considered as quasi-steady.

The *flux-exchange* method is based on the exchange of relevant fluxes (e.g., mass flux, momentum flux) between different descriptions. Even though the flux-exchange method naturally follows the conservation laws, it does not guarantee the continuity of state variables, which may require an additional treatment [35]. Moreover, the flux-exchange method appears to be more restrictive with respect to an efficient time decoupling [32] than the state-exchange method, which is absolutely necessary for a large disparity of timescales in atomistic and continuum representations. The choice of a particular hybrid method or of their combination is dictated by the flow problem, i.e., considering the main flow characteristics, the disparity of length and time scales, and the efficiency of the chosen algorithm.

Imposing *interface conditions* at artificial boundaries of atomistic and continuum domains creates an additional challenge in coupled simulations. Even though boundary conditions (BC) in continuum approaches can often be properly imposed, the extraction of required state information from atomistic particle-based solution is a difficult task. The extraction of the mean field properties (ensemble averages) typically requires spatio-temporal sampling of characteristics computed by atomistic solver. Hadjiconstantinou *et. al.* [36] obtained an *a priori* estimate for the number of samples required to measure the average of flow properties in a cell of a selected volume for a fixed error. The number of samples depends on the significance of deterministic flow properties with respect to the thermal fluctuations amplitude and can be very large if thermal fluctuations overwhelm deterministic flow characteristics. There are also approaches which attempt to minimize the
drawback of the presence of thermal fluctuations [35] or to filter them out as we will present in this paper. Non-periodic BCs in particle methods are associated with particle insertions/deletions [37] and the application of effective boundary forces [29, 31, 38]. The existing BC algorithms for Newtonian fluids in particle methods work quite well; however, the corresponding algorithms for complex fluids (e.g., polymeric and biological suspensions) are still under active development. Insertion of bonded particles (e.g. polymers, red blood cells, etc.) demands more sophisticated methods than insertion of non-bonded particles (e.g., representing blood plasma). The main challenge here is to minimize non-physical disturbances in local density and velocity fluctuations.

In summary, for multiscale modeling of blood flow we need to address all the aforementioned challenges. The first challenge is in deriving mathematical models accurately predicting physiological processes. The second challenge is designing robust interface conditions required to integrate the continuum and atomistic simulations. The third challenge is in processing non-stationary data from atomistic simulations. Due to relatively frequent data exchange between the stochastic and deterministic solvers, statistical averaging should be performed on data calculated within short time intervals. The fourth challenge is a computational one. In particular, billions of degrees of freedom are required to accurately resolve the micro-scales and also the interaction between the blood cells and endothelial cells in a volume as small as 1mm$^3$. Simulating effects of small scales on the larger scales requires increased local resolution in the discretization of computational domain with the continuum description. For example, clot formation starts from aggregation of individual blood cells and the clot must grow to a certain size before affecting the large scale flow dynamics. However, to accurately capture the clot geometry and its shading off segments, very high local resolution is required. Moreover, to follow the detached clot segment an adaptive local $hp$-type mesh refinement must be used.

In this paper we address some of the aforementioned difficulties. In section 2 we describe the numerical methods applied to simulate blood flow and clot formation process at various scales with sufficiently high resolution. In the same section we describe our approach for coupling the atomistic and continuum solvers. Specifically, we review the interface conditions and ways to increase the computational efficiency. In section 3 we present results of coupled simulations of a blood flow and clot formation in a simplified domain of an artery and also in a patient-specific brain vasculature with an aneurysm.
In section 4 we summarize our study, discuss the current limitations of our methods, and provide an outlook for future development.

2. Methods

In this section we describe the numerical methods used to simulate blood flow at both continuum and atomistic levels. First, a numerical method for solving the Navier-Stokes equations using a continuum description is reviewed. Specifically, we focus on spatio-temporal discretization and on the smoothed profile method (SPM) [39, 40] implemented in our research code \( \mathcal{N} \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \). Second, we describe a numerical approach for coarse-grained atomistic simulation using the dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) method [41, 42] and a projection method of atomistic data to continuum field. Finally, coupling of the continuum solver \( \mathcal{N} \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \) with the atomistic solver DPD-LAMMPS (based on the open source LAMMPS code [43]) is described.

2.1. Continuum-based modeling: spectral element method

The large-scale flow dynamics is modeled by the Navier-Stokes equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,
\]

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the velocity vector, \( p \) is the pressure, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( t \) is the time, and \( \mathbf{f} \) is a force term, which we will discuss in more detail further below. The flow problem is defined in a rigid domain \( \Omega_C \) of arterial networks. To model large-scale flow dynamics, we assume blood to be an incompressible Newtonian fluid with constant density and viscosity.

The three-dimensional (3D) Navier-Stokes equations are solved using the open-source parallel code \( \mathcal{N} \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \) developed at Brown University. \( \mathcal{N} \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \) employs the spectral/\( \text{hp} \) element spatial discretization (SEM/\( \text{hp} \)) [44], which provides high spatial resolution and is suitable for solving unsteady flow problems in geometrically complex domains. The computational domain is decomposed into polymorphic elements. Within each element the solution of equation (1) is approximated by hierarchical, mixed order, semi-orthogonal Jacobi polynomial expansions [44]. Figure 2 shows an illustration of the domain decomposition and the polynomial basis used in \( \mathcal{N} \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \).

For time integration \( \mathcal{N} \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \) employs a high-order semi-implicit time-stepping scheme [45].
To simulate moving objects or time-evolving structures within a fixed computational domain we use the smoothed profile method [39, 40]. SPM belongs to the family of immersed boundary methods, and hence it has no requirements on the mesh to be conformal with the boundaries of moving objects or structures. The forcing term $f$ in equation (1) is computed using SPM such that no-slip boundary conditions (BCs) are weakly imposed at any (virtual) surface $\Gamma_v(t, x) \in \Omega_C$.

The equations (1) are solved in several steps using the numerical scheme outlined below. In the following equations the index $n$ in the scheme corresponds to the time step $t^n = n\Delta t$, where $\Delta t$ is the size of a time step; $\gamma_0$ and $\alpha_k$ are coefficients for the backward differentiation, $\beta_k$ are extrapolation coefficients, and $J_e$ is the time discretization order.

The first step in solving equation (1) includes explicit computing of the provisional velocity field $u^*$:

$$u^* - \sum_{k=0}^{J_e-1} (\alpha_k u^{n-k}) \Delta t = - \sum_{k=0}^{J_e-1} (\beta_k u^{n-k} \cdot \nabla u^{n-k}).$$

In the second step we solve implicitly the Helmholtz equation for each velocity vector component:

$$\frac{\gamma_0 \ddot{u} - u^*}{\Delta t} = \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \ddot{u},$$
where the pressure field $p$ is computed from the Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 p = (\Delta t)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The Poisson equation for $p$ is derived by applying the divergence operator to equation (3) and using the condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \equiv 0$. In the case of zero force term, the second step completes the numerical integration of equation (1) and the solution vector at time step $t + \Delta t$ is defined to be $\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \mathbf{\tilde{u}}$. With a non-zero force term, an additional step is required to obtain solution at time step $t + \Delta t$.

At the third step, the velocity field $\mathbf{\tilde{u}}$ obtained in the second step is corrected in order to impose no-slip BCs at moving boundaries $\Gamma_v(t, \mathbf{x})$:

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma_0 \frac{\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{\tilde{u}}}{\Delta t} &= \phi^{n+1} \frac{\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{\tilde{u}}}{\Delta t} - \nabla \tilde{p}, \\
\end{align*}$$

(5)

where the pressure field $\tilde{p}$ is calculated from:

$$\nabla^2 \tilde{p} = (\Delta t)^{-1} \nabla \cdot \left[ \phi^{n+1} (\mathbf{u}_p - \mathbf{\tilde{u}}) + \mathbf{u}^* \right].$$

(6)

The Poisson equation for $\tilde{p}$ is derived by applying the divergence operator to equation (5) and assuming that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \equiv 0$.

The scalar field $\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ in equation (6) is the so-called indicator function. This function is zero outside the region(s) bounded by $\Gamma_v(t, \mathbf{x})$, while inside this region(s) $\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 1$. Note that $\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ is not a step function such that it smoothly varies from zero to one around $\Gamma_v(t, \mathbf{x})$ with $\phi(t, \Gamma_v) = 0.5$. The vector $\mathbf{u}_p(t, \mathbf{x})$ specifies required flow velocity with its values being important only for $\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) > 0$. The smoothness of fields $\phi$ and $\mathbf{u}_p$ is very important, since high-order accuracy can only be achieved for smooth fields. In section 2.3 we will further extend the discussion of $\Gamma_v$, $\phi$, and $\mathbf{u}_p$, and show the relation of these fields to data provided by the atomistic solver.

The patient-specific arterial network considered in our study is very large. Moreover, to accurately represent the wall shear stresses (an important characteristic in biological flows) high spatial resolution is required. This leads to an extremely large size of computational problem (in terms of degrees of freedom (DOF)) with $O(10^9)$ DOF. To efficiently solve such large problem we employed a multi-patch domain decomposition method [46]. This method decomposes the full tightly-coupled problem into a number of small tightly-coupled problems defined in subdomains (patches), where the global continuity (coupling) is enforced by providing proper interface conditions.
Thus, the multi-patch method significantly improves computational efficiency and essentially removes the limits imposed due to large problem size. The multi-patch approach is well suited with the functional decomposition strategy we employ in multiscale simulations such that in each patch a different numerical model for the flow problem can be applied. For example, the computational domain of brain arteries shown in figure 1 is decomposed into four patches, but only one patch has an interface with the atomistic solver, where the SPM method is employed. The solution of equation (1) with a non-zero force term implies that one more Poisson equation and three additional projection problems must be solved, which practically doubles the computational effort. Using the flow solver with the SPM in a single patch leads to a better management of computational resources.

2.2. Atomistic based modeling: dissipative particle dynamics method

To model blood flow dynamics at atomistic/mesoscopic scale we employ a coarse-grained stochastic molecular dynamics approach [47] using the dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) method [41, 42]. DPD is a mesoscopic particle method with each particle representing a molecular cluster rather than an individual molecule. The DPD can be seamlessly applied to simulate bonded structures (e.g., polymers, blood cells) and non-bonded particles (e.g., blood plasma). The DPD system consists of $N$ point particles interacting through conservative, dissipative, and random forces given respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
F^C_{ij} &= a_{ij} \left(1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{r_c}\right) \hat{r}_{ij}, \\
F^D_{ij} &= -\gamma \omega^D(r_{ij})(v_{ij} \cdot \hat{r}_{ij})\hat{r}_{ij}, \\
F^R_{ij} &= \sigma \omega^R(r_{ij}) \frac{\xi_{ij}}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \hat{r}_{ij},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{r}_{ij} = \frac{r_{ij}}{r_{ij}}$, $v_{ij} = v_i - v_j$, $\Delta t$ is the time step, and $r_c$ is the cutoff radius beyond which all forces vanish. The coefficients $a_{ij}$, $\gamma$, and $\sigma$ define the strength of conservative, dissipative, and random forces, respectively. $\omega^D$ and $\omega^R(r_{ij}) = \left(1 - \frac{r_{ij}}{r_c}\right)^k$ with the exponent $k$ are weight functions, and $\xi_{ij} = \xi_{ji}$ is a normally distributed random variable. The random and dissipative forces form a thermostat and must satisfy the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [42] leading to the two conditions: $\omega^D(r_{ij}) = [\omega^R(r_{ij})]^2$ and $\sigma^2 = 2\gamma k_B T$ with $T$ being the equilibrium temperature. The motion of DPD particles is governed by Newton’s law:

$$
\frac{d\hat{r}_i}{dt} = v_i, \quad m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt} = F_i = \sum_{j,i \neq j} \left(F^C_{ij} + F^D_{ij} + F^R_{ij}\right). \tag{8}
$$
The atomistic problem is defined in a fixed non-periodic domain $\Omega_A$. The domain boundaries $\Gamma_A$ are discretized into triangular elements $T$, where local BC velocities are imposed. In general, we impose effective boundary forces $F_{\text{eff}}$ on the particles near boundaries that represent solid walls and inflow/outflow BCs. Such forces impose no-slip BCs at solid walls and control the flow velocities at inflow/outflow [38]. In addition, at inflow/outflow we insert/delete particles according to local particle flux [38]. Figure 3 presents a typical discretization of $\Gamma_A$. In coupled atomistic-continuum simulations the BCs prescribed at $\Gamma_A$ are based on data received from the continuum solver, which will be described in the next section.

Coupling of atomistic and continuum solvers requires the calculation of averaged properties in $\Omega_A$ such as velocity and density. Accurate computing of the averaged fields through processing of atomistic data presents several challenges including geometrical complexity of the atomistic domains, thermal fluctuations, and flow unsteadiness. To compute average properties we adopt a spatio-temporal binning strategy. The computational domain $\Omega_A$ is discretized into tetrahedral elements (bins) $\Omega_b$. The time-space average velocity and density are computed by sampling data over predefined time intervals $\Delta t_s$ within each bin:

$$\bar{u}_b = \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_1+N_{ts}} \sum_{i} u^n_i,$$  

(9)

where $\bar{u}_b$ denotes the average velocity in bin $b$, $N_p$ is the number of particles
passing through $\Omega_b$ over the time interval $\Delta t_s = N_{ts} \Delta t$, and $\mathbf{u}_i^n$ is the velocity of a particle $i$ at time step $n$.

The averaging of atom properties over bin’s volume leads to cell centered data, which is discontinuous at the bin interfaces. As we mentioned above, the spectral convergence in the spectral element method can be achieved only for smooth fields. To map the cell centered data to a vertex centered $C^0$ continuous field we apply a projection operator $P_{cc2vc}$:

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_v = P_{cc2vc}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}_b) = \sum_{b=1}^{N_b} (\omega_{vb} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_b),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

where $v$ represents a vertex of $\Omega_b$, $N_b$ is the number of bins sharing the vertex $v$, and $\omega_{vb}$ are the normalized integration weights: $\forall v \sum_b \omega_{vb} = 1$. The weights are inversely proportional to the distance between the vertex $v$ and the center of bin $b$. An alternative method to compute vertex centered flow properties is to sample the atomistic data within a spherical volume centered at the vertices of elements $\Omega_b$. Both methods produce similar results, while the main difference is in computational complexity. In general, it is computationally more efficient to determine if a particle is located inside a spherical bin in comparison to that inside a polymorphic element. Moreover, the number of vertices is typically a fraction of the number of elements. However, possible overlap between the volumes centered at each vertex requires that the location of each particle is tested in a number of spherical bins, which significantly increases the computational burden. In case of hexahedral bins, where bin faces are properly aligned with the coordinate system, calculation of cell centered data and their projection on the vertex centered configuration is the most efficient. However, such hexahedral bins cannot be used in arbitrary complex geometries.

The accuracy in computing of average properties is controlled by the size of a bin and the time interval $\Delta t_s$. In steady flows $\Delta t_s$ can be chosen very large to minimize the effect of thermal fluctuations and accurately capture the deterministic component. In non-stationary flows, for instance blood flow considered in our study, the averaged properties are time dependent, and it is not always clear how to define the time interval $\Delta t_s$. Thus, data averaged over a short $\Delta t_s$ may be very noisy due to thermal fluctuations, while averaging over a long $\Delta t_s$ will inadequately approximate the time variation of the deterministic component within the atomistic fields. In order to overcome this difficulty we employ the window proper orthogonal decomposition
Figure 4: (in color) Processing of data from the DPD simulation of unsteady flow in a pipe: (a) - averaged solution (streamwise velocity component, aligned with the \( x \) axis) computed with a standard averaging with \( N_{ts} = 50 \); (b) - averaged solution processed with the WPOD; here the data is reconstructed using the first two POD modes. (c) - exact solution. (d-f) - gradients of the streamwise component of the velocity filed.

(WPOD) [48, 49]. WPOD is a spectral analysis tool used to transform a field into \( M \) orthogonal temporal and spatial modes. The low-order POD modes contain most of the energy and correspond to the ensemble average field, while the high-order POD modes reflect thermal fluctuation components. To construct the POD modes we apply the method of snapshots [50], where each snapshot contains a spatio-temporal average of atomistic data computed over a relatively short \( \Delta t_s \). Figure 4 illustrates the application of WPOD method for processing of atomistic data. The plots clearly show that WPOD substantially improves the accuracy in the reconstruction of the time dependent average flow field and also its derivatives. For this problem, the averaged solution obtained with the WPOD technique was approximately one order of magnitude more accurate (in \( L_2 \)-norm) than the solution obtained using standard averaging. Our simulation tests indicate that in order to achieve a certain accuracy, the WPOD approach allows us to balance the number of POD modes and the length of time interval \( \Delta t_s \) for averaging of a single snapshot. Figure 5 shows that for \( M\Delta t_s = \text{const} \) identical accuracy in reconstruction of the deterministic component of an unsteady flow can be achieved in practice. A more detailed description of the application of the
Figure 5: (in color) Processing of data from the DPD simulation of unsteady flow in a pipe: accuracy. The streamwise velocity is computed from atomistic data using the WPOD method. The time window over which the data is processed is kept constant. Black solid curve - each snapshot is computed over 100 time steps, number of POD modes is 40. Red dot-dash curve - each snapshot is computed over 200 time steps, number of POD modes is 20.
WPOD method to atomistic data will be published elsewhere.

2.3. Coupled atomistic-continuum solver

To construct a solver for multiscale simulations we couple the continuum solver \( \text{NekTao} \) with the atomistic solver DPD-LAMMPS. A schematic representation of functional decomposition implemented in our coupled solver is presented in figure 6 and an example of a coupled problem is provided in figure 1. Each solver fully preserves its original capabilities, in particular

Figure 6: A schematic representation of task parallelism in our coupled solver. The continuum domain is partitioned into \( N \) overlapping patches \( \Omega_{C_i} \), and the patches exchange data via inter-patch communicators. Each \( \Omega_{C_i} \) has multiple inlets and outlets, and each patch may be connected to 0D or 1D arterial network model in order to model BCs for inlets/outlets. Two atomistic domains \( \Omega_{A_i} \) are placed inside \( \Omega_{C_1} \). Each \( \Omega_{A_i} \) can be replicated several times to reduce statistical error. Each \( \Omega_{A_i} \) is linked to a \( \Omega_{C_1} \) via two interfaces: A 2D interface which considers the boundaries of \( \Omega_{A_i} \) and uses data computed in \( \Omega_{C_1} \) as BCs. A 3D interface, which is tailored to the immersed boundary method; \( \Omega_{C_1} \) uses an external force field computed in \( \Omega_{A_i} \).

the multi-patch domain decomposition in \( \text{NekTao} \) and multiple replicas to compute the statistical properties more accurately in DPD-LAMMPS. Independent tightly-coupled problems are solved within each continuum or
atomistic domain using non-overlapping groups of processors. Additional sub-groups of processors are derived to handle tasks required to impose BCs at inlets/outlets and also at inter-domain interfaces.

Coupling of the atomistic and continuum solvers follows the framework described in [31], where the continuum solver for Navier-Stokes equations is coupled to DPD and also to molecular dynamics (MD). The flow domain is decomposed into a number of overlapping regions, which may employ different descriptions such as MD, DPD, or continuum. Each sub-domain is integrated independently. The coupling between overlapping sub-domains is performed by exchanging state variables every $\Delta \tau$ in time progression as shown in figure 7. The time $\Delta \tau$ may correspond to a different number of time steps for distinct descriptions. In this paper we also extend the method of [31] and apply it to unsteady flow in a complex geometry.

To set up a multiscale problem with heterogeneous descriptions we have to define length and time scales. In principle, the choice of spatio-temporal scales may be flexible, but it is limited by various factors such as method applicability (e.g., stability, flow regime) and problem constraints (e.g., temporal resolution, microscale phenomena). For example, a unit of length ($L_C$) in $\Omega_C$ corresponds to 1 mm, while a unit of length ($L_A$) in $\Omega_A$ is about 1 $\mu m$. In addition, fluid properties (e.g., viscosity) in different descriptions may not necessarily be in the same units in various methods. To properly

Figure 7: A schematic representation of the time progression in different sub-domains. The time step ratio employed in our study is $\Delta t_{NS}/\Delta t_{DPD} = 20$, and $\Delta \tau = 10\Delta t_{NS} = 0.0344s$. 
couple different domains we are required to consistently non-dimensionalize the time and length scales and to match non-dimensional numbers which characterize the flow. For example, to match the Reynolds number ($Re$) in different domains the velocity in $\Omega_A$ is scaled as:

$$u_A = u_C \frac{L_C \nu_A}{L_A \nu_C},$$

where $\nu_C$ and $\nu_A$ are the kinematic fluid viscosities in the $\Omega_C$ and $\Omega_A$. The time scale in each sub-domain is defined as $t \sim L^2/\nu$ and is governed by the choice of fluid viscosity. In our simulations a single time step in the continuum solver ($\Delta t_{NS}$) corresponds to 20 time steps in the atomistic solver ($\Delta t_{DPD}$). The data exchange between the two solvers occurs every $\Delta \tau = 10\Delta t_{NS} = 200\Delta t_{DPD} \sim 0.0344$ s.

Figure 8 illustrates the setup for coupled atomistic-continuum simulation of platelet aggregation. First, the continuum domain $\Omega_C$ is created. Second,
data is inserted into $\Omega_A$, and $\Omega_S \in \Omega_A$. The boundaries of $\Omega_A$ are discretized using 2D elements (triangles), while the volume of $\Omega_S$ is discretized using 3D elements (bins).

The interface conditions used for atomistic-continuum coupling are based on the requirements for each solver. The atomistic solver requires a local velocity flux to be imposed at each element $T$ of $\Gamma_A$. The coordinates of $T$ centers are provided by the atomistic solver to the continuum solver at the preprocessing stage, and interpolation operators projecting the velocity from the continuum filed onto the centers of $T$ are constructed. During time integration data computed by the continuum solver is interpolated and transferred to the atomistic solver. The continuum solver also requires the indicator function $\phi$ and the velocity $u_p$. The main objective of the simulation shown in figure 8 is to study the formation of blood clot. The clot is formed by aggregation of the DPD particles (platelets) on the arterial wall, i.e., the blood clot is simulated by the atomistic solver. The interaction between the micro and macro scales strongly depends on the effects of the clot growth on the flow. Specifically, the clot forms an obstacle attached to the wall, and also some parts of the clot may break up and advect downstream. Since the clot is formed by an evolving cluster of DPD particles which represent platelets, the local density of these particles increases in comparison to that in bulk blood flow. To mimic this obstacle (aggregate) we construct the indicator function $\phi$ in such a way that it has a value of one in the region with high-density of active (sticky) platelets and zero in the region with normal platelets density as in bulk flow. To this end, the indicator function is defined as:

$$\phi(t, x) = 0.5 \left[ \tanh \left( \frac{\rho_p(t, x) - \rho_0}{L_\rho} \right) + 1 \right],$$

(12)

where $\rho_p(t, x)$ is the concentration of active platelets, $\rho_0$ is the predefined threshold (in our simulations $\rho_0$ is two to three times greater than the bulk platelet density), and $L_\rho$ is the scaling factor which controls the steepness of the indicator function. The values of the indicator function are computed by the atomistic solver within $\Omega_S$ at the coordinates which correspond to the locations of grid points in $\Omega_C$. Due to a high-spatial resolution in the continuum domain the number of grid points can be very large. To compute the indicator function efficiently the density $\rho_p(t, x)$ is first computed at the bin centers by spatio-temporal averaging over time interval $\Delta \tau$. Then, the projection operator $P_{cc2vc}$ is applied to compute the vertex-centered data. The projection from cell-centered to vertex-centered data is followed by the
interpolation of $\rho_p(t, x)$ at bin vertices to the grid points of $\Omega_C$ using local linear interpolation within each bin. The indicator function is then calculated from the interpolated density values.

Monitoring the size of the blood clot over time may be a very difficult task. The major challenge is to define the clot and its boundaries in terms of the active platelets and their velocity. The description of the blood volume occupied by active platelets by an indicator function provides a convenient method to measure the volume occupied by the clot. For example, we may simply integrate the indicator function $\phi(t, x)$ over $\Omega_A$ or $\Omega_C$ instead of counting individual active platelets. However, such method will also include the regions occupied by moving objects composed of aggregated platelets, which are not a part of the static blood clot near the wall. Thus, to make the estimation of the clot growth more reliable, it might be necessary to introduce weights for the indicator function which assume a value of one in the region of very slow flow and decay exponentially fast as the flow velocity increases.

2.4. Platelet aggregation model

Platelets are modeled by single DPD particles with a larger effective radius \[51\] than that of plasma particles and are coupled to the plasma through the DPD dissipative interactions. The model of platelet aggregation is adopted from \[52\], where platelets can be in three different states: passive, triggered, and activated. In the passive state, platelets are non-adhesive and interact with each other through the repulsive DPD forces, which provide their excluded volume interactions. Passive platelets may be triggered if they are in close vicinity of an activated platelet or injured wall. When a platelet is triggered, it still remains non-adhesive during the so-called activation delay time, which is chosen randomly from a specified time range. After the selected activation delay time, a triggered platelet becomes activated and adhesive. Activated platelets interact with other activated particles and adhesive sites, which are placed at the wall representing an injured wall section, through the Morse potential as follows

$$U_M(r) = D_e \left[ e^{2\beta(r_0 - r)} - 2e^{\beta(r_0 - r)} \right],$$

(13)

where $r$ is the separation distance, $r_0$ is the zero force distance, $D_e$ is the well depth of the potential, and $\beta$ characterizes the interaction range. The Morse potential interactions are implemented between every activated platelet or adhesive site if they are within a defined potential cutoff radius $r_d$. The Morse
interactions consist of a short-range repulsive force when $r < r_0$ and of a long-range attractive force for $r > r_0$ such that $r_0$ corresponds approximately to the effective radius of a platelet. Finally, activated platelets may become passive again, if they did not interact with any activated platelet or adhesive site during a finite recovery time.

2.5. Accuracy considerations

The accuracy of the coupled atomistic-continuum solver depends on modeling and discretization errors. Specifically, modeling errors in the continuum approach can be attributed to ignoring the wall motion, and uncertainties in the inflow/outflow boundary conditions. At the atomic level, the interaction between red, white blood cells and fibrinogen should be included. Modeling such interactions is particularly important for simulating advanced stages of clot formation. Modeling platelets tethering and aggregation at the initial and intermediate stages may require including additional force terms, and also treating the depth of the Morse potential ($D_e$) as a time-varying parameter. The discretization errors are attributed to the usual time-space discretisation parameters, i.e., $\Delta t$, $h-$ and $p-$ mesh refinement, cut off radius $r_c$ in the DPD solver but also to the statistical error in computing ensemble averages.

Validation of the mathematical models is extremely hard, since this may eventually requires performing experiments of the clot formation in the brain. In our work we used the platelet aggregation model presented in [52], which produced platelets aggregation rates comparable to experimentally observed ones.

The numerical accuracy of different components in our coupled solver has been verified by performing time-space discretization refinement. For example, the atomistic simulations have been performed using four resolutions, with $L_A/L_C$ ratio 100, 200 and 400. Comparable results have been obtained with $L_A/L_C = 200$ and $L_A/L_C = 400$, and the finest resolution has been used in the presented simulations.

The numerical error in sampling the atomistic data using WPOD has been addressed in section 2.2, and also in [49]. The errors of the SPM method implemented in our solver have been explored in [40]; in general, the SPM errors are sensitive to the $h-$ discretization and to the steepness of the indicator function. The verification of the SPM method has been performed in separate studies using creeping flow (Wannier flow problem) and simulation of
fast spinning marine propeller at Reynolds number up to 160K. In all simulations the numerical error could be kept very low (1-5%) with proper choice of the indicator function steepness.

Errors in coupling continuum and atomistic description for simulating stationary flows have been explored in [31], where it was shown that convergence of the solution in the continuum and atomistic domains can be achieved. Here we consider non-stationary flows and a different approach for coupling the \( \Omega_A \) to \( \Omega_C \), and similar approach for coupling \( \Omega_C \) to \( \Omega_A \).

Although, we do observe that the main large scale feature of the flow computed in \( \Omega_A \), i.e., generating secondary flows due to the obstacle, is present in \( \Omega_C \), the coupling approach requires additional validations. Such validation may be performed by simulating the sedimentation of a sphere, where the sphere is simulated with the DPD and its location and velocity are imposed in the continuum domain by the SPM.

3. Results

In this section we present results of two multiscale simulations using the two-way coupling approach and show the scalability of our coupled solver. The first test models thrombus formation in an idealized cylindrical vessel with steady flow conditions at the inlet. The second test corresponds to modeling thrombus development in an aneurysm using a patient-specific domain of brain vasculature with unsteady flow conditions imposed at the domain’s four inlets. In both cases the thrombus is formed next to a small wall section, where we randomly place a number of adhesive sites, which mimic an injured wall. An atomistic domain encloses the flow volume around the injured wall section such that five planar surfaces of the domain are interfaced with the global continuum domain, while the sixth boundary surface overlaps with the wall, see figures 9 and 10. The size of the atomistic domain in both tests is chosen to be large enough to properly resolve and capture the dynamics of thrombus formation (e.g., a unit length in the atomistic domain corresponds to approximately 1 µm). The velocities in \( \Omega_A \) are scaled according to equation (11) in order to keep the same \( Re \) number in both continuum and atomistic domains, since \( Re \) is the main governing parameter for this flow. DPD particles representing blood plasma and passive (inactive) platelets are inserted at \( \Gamma_A \) with a constant and uniform density according to local velocity flux at the boundaries.
The code scalability study was performed using the patient-specific domain of brain vasculature. A perfect strong scaling of our solver has been observed on up to 72 Racks (about 300K cores) of BlueGene/P computer at the Jülich Supercomputing Centre and on up to 190K cores of CRAY XT5 at the National Institute for Computational Sciences and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

3.1. Coupled simulations of platelet aggregation

Platelet deposition simulation in idealized vessel geometry was performed using a continuum domain of a pipe with radius of 235 $\mu$m, where a Poiseuille flow ($Re = 350$) was imposed at the inlet and zero pressure conditions at the outlet. An atomistic sub-domain of size $100 \times 200 \times 100$ $\mu$m$^3$ was placed close to the pipe wall around the injured wall section as shown in figure 9. The injured wall section was modeled by placing randomly a number of adhesive sites within a circular region of the wall with radius of 22 $\mu$m and the particle density $5/\mu$m$^2$. Platelet interactions were modeled with the Morse potential, see equation (13). The strength of platelet adhesion $D_e = [1000 2000] k_B T$ was set to be sufficiently large to ensure firm platelet adhesion. The other parameters were chosen to be $\beta = 0.5 \mu$m$^{-1}$ and $r_0 = \ldots$
0.75 μm. The maximum activation delay time of triggered platelets was set to be $200\Delta t_{DPD}$ corresponding to approximately 0.0344 s. The platelet recovery time was equal to $20000\Delta t_{DPD} \approx 3.44$ s. The platelet time constants affect the dynamics of clot formation, however they have an insignificant effect on the final thrombus structure. Note that our time constants are smaller than those used in [52], which allows us to accelerate thrombus formation for the modeling and code testing purpose. The bulk concentration of passive platelets in blood flow was equal to 0.25 per μm$^3$, which is larger than the normal platelet concentration in blood and was used to accelerate the clot formation dynamics. The DPD fluid (blood plasma) parameters are given in table 1. With these parameters, we obtain the DPD fluid’s dynamic viscosity $\eta = 2.952$. The time step in simulations was set to $\Delta t_{DPD} = 0.007$.

Table 1: DPD fluid parameters used in simulations. $n$ is the plasma number density.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$k_B T$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$r_c$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9 presents the velocity field in the streamwise direction ($z$ axis) computed by the continuum and atomistic solvers. The clot size is shown by an iso-surface which corresponds to the density level of active platelets 1.75 times larger than the bulk platelet density in the atomistic domain (left plot). The obstacle shown by an iso-surface in figure 9 (right) corresponds to the indicator function level of $\phi = 0.5$ in the continuum domain. The velocity field near the platelet deposition gets distorted, since the thrombus serves as an obstacle to the flow. The platelet aggregate grows dynamically to a certain size. Then, its growth stops due to fluid flow stresses and thrombus instability as it becomes large. At this point we observe the detachment of some parts of the clot and consequent minor re-growth. It is clear that the attractive interactions with a finite strength between activated platelets and adhesive sites can only sustain a finite shear stress, which increases with the thrombus growth. The stability of a realistic blood clot is enforced by fibrinogen proteins, which form a cross-linked network within the clot in order to maintain the integrity of a thrombus even at high blood flow shear-stresses. Our model of platelet aggregation is not taking into account the presence of such a protein network, and therefore the thrombus becomes unstable above a certain size. As a conclusion, our platelet aggregation model is able to capture only the initial clot growth, where fibrinogen does not play a significant role. To capture thrombus formation beyond the initial growth,
the current model has to include fibrinogen network interactions within a thrombus, which we will pursue in the future.

*Platelet deposition simulation in patient-specific brain vasculature with an aneurysm* was performed using the domain of Circle of Willis and its branches reconstructed from MRI images, see figures 1 and 10a. Patient-specific flow BCs at the four inlets and RC [53] BCs for the pressure at all outlets of $\Omega_C$ were imposed. The unsteady blood flow is characterized by two non-dimensional flow parameters: Reynolds number $Re = 394$ and Womersley number $Ws = 3.7$.

Three atomistic sub-domains with the volume of about $4 \, mm^3$ each were placed inside the aneurysm as depicted in figure 1. The clot formation is modeled in the two sub-domains $\Omega_{A_1}$ and $\Omega_{A_2}$ attached to the wall. The approximate size of each sub-domain was $1.25 \times 1.25 \times 2.5 \, mm^3$. The injured wall section in the two sub-domains attached to the aneurysm wall was modeled by a circular region of approximately $0.5 \, mm$ radius with the density $5/\mu m^2$ of adhesive sites. The platelet interaction parameters, bulk density, and the characteristic activation/deactivation times were set the same as those used for the platelet deposition simulation in a pipe flow described above. The
DPD fluid parameters are given in table 1. The atomistic domain boundaries \( \Gamma_A \) are discretized with more than 2000 triangular elements, at which fluid particles and platelets either leave \( \Omega_{Ai} \) or are inserted according to the local velocity flux.

Figure 10 shows the velocity fields computed in \( \Omega_{A1} \) and \( \Omega_C \), where we find the solution to be continuous across the continuum-atomistic interface. The atomistic data has been co-processed using the WPOD which adaptively selects the number of POD modes for reconstructing the ensemble average solution [49]. In this simulation between one to two POD modes was required. The plot also illustrates that the coupled solver properly captures blood flow profile within the atomistic sub-domains. Figure 11 presents the deposition of active platelets at the injured wall section and illustrates shedding and advection of active platelet clusters along the flow. In the left plot one can see the onset of thrombus formation, where yellow particles depict activated platelets or adhesive sites at the aneurysm wall, while red particles correspond to passive platelets in bulk flow. The right plot of figure 11 shows a snapshot later in time, where we observe platelet deposition and shedding of platelet clusters. Significant advection of platelet aggregates along the flow appears to be due to relatively fast platelet aggregation dynamics, which we assumed in order to accelerate the clot formation, and due to a high bulk density of platelets. To further illustrate the initial clot development within the aneurysm, figure 12 shows the clot size at two time instances. Similarly to the first test of platelet deposition in pipe flow we observe a thrombus instability as it grows beyond a certain size. One can also see that its development appears to be non-steady and spatially non-uniform with a non-smooth structure. Several effects may contribute to the irregular clot development including unsteady flow and varying local shear rates and stresses both in time and space. In addition, our simulation times are rather short O(1s), while realistic clot formation occurs on the timescale of at least several minutes.

### 3.2. Parallel performance of coupled solver

Table 2 presents results for strong scaling of our coupled solver in simulations of platelet aggregation in patient-specific brain vasculature with an aneurysm. The number of DPD particles employed is 823,079,981 which corresponds to more than 8 billion molecules assuming a coarse-graining factor 10 : 1 in the DPD method. We note that we also employ non-periodic BCs and have to update the particle neighbor lists (function `neigh_modify`
Figure 11: Brain vasculature: coupled continuum-atomistic simulation of platelet aggregation at the wall of an aneurysm with $Re = 394$ and $Ws = 3.75$. Yellow dots correspond to active platelets and red dots to inactive platelets. Streamlines depict instantaneous velocity field. (i) Onset of clot formation; (ii) Clot formation as it progresses in time and space, and detachment of small platelet clusters due to shear-flow.
Figure 12: Brain vasculature: coupled continuum-atomistic simulation of platelet deposition at the wall of an aneurysm with $Re = 394$ and $Ws = 3.75$. Left - side view; right - bottom view. Yellow dots - active platelets; streamlines with arrows depict the flow direction; colors correspond to the velocity magnitude. (a) - onset and (b) advanced stage of clot growth.
Table 2: Coupled $N\varepsilon\kappa\alpha$-DPD-LAMMPS solver: strong scaling in coupled blood flow simulation in the domain of figure 1. $N_{\text{core}}$ is the number of cores and CPU-time is the time required for 4,000 DPD-LAMMPS timesteps. The total number of DPD particles is 823,079,981. Efficiency is computed as a gain in CPU-time divided by the expected gain due to increase in $N_{\text{core}}$ with respect to a simulation with lower core-count. Simulations were performed on IBM Blue-Gene/P computer at Jülich Supercomputing Centre in Forschungszentrum Jülich (FZJ) and on CRAY XT5 computer at the National Institute for Computational Sciences and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_{\text{core}}$ (4 cores/node)</th>
<th>CPU-time [s]</th>
<th>efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Gene/P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32,768</td>
<td>3580.34</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131,072</td>
<td>861.11</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262,144</td>
<td>403.92</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294,912</td>
<td>389.85</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cray XT5 (12 cores/node)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21,396</td>
<td>2194</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,036</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,676</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97,428</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190,740</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in LAMMPS) every time step. The atomistic solver obtains data from the Navier-Stokes solver every 200 steps, while the continuum solver obtains data from the atomistic solver every 20 time steps. The CPU-time presented in table 2 also includes the time required to save on the disk averaged velocity and density fields by DPD-LAMMPS every 500 timesteps. In the coupled multiscale simulations we observe a super-linear scaling, which can be attributed to two factors: i) a better utilization of cache memory; and ii) a reduction in computational load per single CPU required to impose interface conditions by the atomistic solver. In general, the computational cost in DPD-LAMMPS is linear, i.e. $\propto C N$, where $C$ can be considered to be nearly constant for a fixed simulation system, since it depends on the number of particle neighbors, the choice of inter-particle interactions, and on the number of triangular elements in boundary discretization, while $N$ is the number of particles in each partition. However, the dependence of $C$ on BCs and specifically on the number of faces $T$ for each partition might be significant. Thus, for normal scaling, i.e. without BCs, $N$ decreases as the number
of cores increases, while $C$ remains constant. The use of non-periodic BCs, however, causes $C$ to also decrease resulting in the super-linear scaling.

4. Summary and Outlook

In this paper we have presented some advances towards the development of a new methodology for multiscale simulations of blood flow with focus on brain aneurysms. Specifically, we have applied this methodology to simulate platelet deposition in a patient-specific domain of cerebro-vasculature with an aneurysm. The simulations have been performed using a hybrid continuum-atomistic solver which couples the high-order spectral element code $\textit{NekT\alpha}$ with the atomistic code DPD-LAMMPS. A two-way coupling between the atomistic and continuum fields has been implemented. The continuum solver feeds the atomistic solver with data at the boundaries of the atomistic domain (interface conditions). The atomistic solver provides to the continuum solver volume data required to prescribe the location and the speed of an obstacle (clot) within the continuum description by means of an immersed boundary method. The hierarchical task decomposition and multi-level communicating interface designed to couple heterogeneous solvers and to perform an efficient data exchange are key features to scaling on hundreds of thousands computer cores.

While the coupled solver incorporates several numerical techniques, additional modeling and algorithmic issues should be addressed. The future modeling issues include:

- developing a model for interaction of blood cells and endothelial cells to simulate more advanced stages of clot growth.
- modeling of fibrinogen in clot formation.
- modeling the stability of the clot and, specifically, simulation of thrombus break up and its advection through the vascular network.
- model validation by comparing simulation results to experiments - a rather difficult task.

The algorithmic issues include:

- capturing the interface with plasma more accurately by adaptive hp-refinement, where the clot is formed.
• simulating clot disaggregation and its advection through the arterial network beyond the area bounded by the atomistic sub-domain. To this end, we will need to develop an atomistic domain moving with the clot, or, otherwise, simulating the detached part of the thrombus using a continuum approach through fluid-structure interaction.

• simulation of moving clot will also need to integrate the solution of a contact problem in order to model the interaction between the blood flow, vessel walls and the thrombus.

• modeling blood vessel wall deformations, which presents a substantial challenge on its own and will add additional complexity.

The aforementioned issues refer to the hemodynamic aspects of the problem. However, addressing the issue of rupture of the aneurysm, as discussed in the Introduction, will require coupling of our solvers to an advanced solid mechanics code that will account for the anisotropic structure of the formed thrombus and its interaction with the tissue [54]. This complex multiscale/multiphysics problem remains untackled so far.
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