Blood flow and cell-free layer in microvessels
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Abstract

Blood is modeled as a suspension of red blood cells (RBCs) using the Dissipative Particle Dynamics (DPD) method. The RBC membrane is coarse-grained for efficient simulations of multiple cells, yet accurately describes its viscoelastic properties. Blood flow in microtubes ranging from 10 to 40 microns in diameter is simulated in three dimensions for values of hematocrit in the range 0.15-0.45 and carefully compared with available experimental data. Velocity profiles for different hematocrit values show an increase in bluntness with an increase in hematocrit. RBC center-of-mass distributions demonstrate cell migration away from the wall to the tube center. This results in the formation of a cell-free layer (CFL) next to the tube wall corresponding to the experimentally observed Fahraeus and Fahraeus-Lindqvist effects. The predicted CFL widths are in agreement with those found in in vitro experiments; the results are also in qualitative agreement with in vivo experiments. However, additional features have to be taken into account for simulating microvascular flow, e.g. the endothelial glycoscalyx. The developed model is able to capture blood flow properties and provides a computational framework at the mesoscopic level for obtaining realistic predictions of blood flow in microcirculation under normal and pathological conditions.
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1 Introduction

Blood is a physiological fluid that consists of erythrocytes or red blood cells (RBCs), leukocytes or white blood cells (WBCs), platelets, and plasma containing various molecules and ions. Its main functions are the transport of oxygen and nutrients to cells of the body, removal of waste products such as carbon dioxide and urea, and circulation of molecules and cells which mediate the organism’s defense and immune response and play a fundamental role in the tissue repair process. RBCs constitute approximately 45% of the total blood volume, WBCs around 0.7%, and the rest is taken up by blood plasma and its substances. Under healthy conditions human RBCs have a biconcave shape of approximately 8 \( \mu m \) in diameter and are highly deformable, which allows them to pass through narrow capillaries with a diameter several times smaller than the RBC size. Due to a high volume fraction of RBCs, the rheological properties of blood are mainly determined by the RBC properties.

In vitro experiments [1, 2, 3] of blood flow in glass tubes with diameters ranging from 3 − 4 \( \mu m \) to 1000 \( \mu m \) have shown a dependence of the apparent blood viscosity on the tube diameter, RBC volume fraction or hematocrit, cell aggregability, and flow rate. In tubes with diameters larger than 500 − 600 \( \mu m \) blood can be assumed to be a nearly Newtonian fluid with a constant effective viscosity, while in smaller tubes it shows complex rheological behavior. The apparent blood viscosity reaches its minimum at the tube diameter of about 7 \( \mu m \) and shows a significant increase with respect to this minimum as the tube diameter decreases or increases.

An increase of the apparent viscosity with increasing tube diameter is known as the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect [1]. This effect is attributed to a cross-stream migration of RBCs in tube flow leading to the formation of two phases [4, 5]: a flow core consisting mainly of RBCs and a cell-free layer (CFL) or a cell-depleted layer next to the tube wall devoid or depleted of cells. The CFL has a lower viscosity in comparison with the RBC core and serves as a lubrication layer reducing the effective blood viscosity [6, 5]. The cross-stream migration of RBCs in tube flow is governed by cell-wall hydrodynamic interactions, which drive the cells away from the wall, and by cell-cell hydrodynamic interactions, which tend to disperse RBCs [7]. The CFL formed by cell migration depends on the tube diameter, the hematocrit value, and the flow rate, and is correlated with the apparent blood viscosity such that increasing of CFL thickness reduces the apparent viscosity of blood.

In vivo experiments [8, 9, 10] of blood perfused through microvessels have shown that the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect is mediated by a CFL formed next to the vessel walls. However, blood flow resistance in microvessels in vivo is markedly higher than that in microtubes [11, 12]. Microvessels in comparison with glass tubes are elastic, lined with endothelium, relatively short, and may be irregular in shape. These differences are likely to attenuate RBC migration resulting in a decreased CFL and an increased effective viscosity. In addition, special features of the vessel walls (e.g., geometry, glyocalyx layer) may contribute to spatial variations of the CFL which tend to diminish the effect of the CFL on the apparent blood viscosity [13].

Computational modeling of blood flow in microtubes or microvessels is challenging since a homogeneous continuum description is not adequate due to the motion of individual de-
formable cells. However, there exist several inhomogeneous continuum approaches to model blood flow in microvessels including the inhomogeneous continuum model of [14] and the two-phase fluid model [13], where two fluids with different viscosities represent the RBC flow core and the CFL, respectively. Several computational approaches to model blood flow as a suspension of cells have recently been developed. These include two-dimensional models [15, 16] and three-dimensional simulations [17, 18, 19]. Even though two-dimensional models may qualitatively capture the behavior of a RBC suspension, their quantitative predictions have to be considered cautiously, since RBC motion and deformation in a flow are inherently three-dimensional. Three-dimensional modeling of a RBC suspension is limited due to computational expense such that the number of cells modeled in [17, 19] was on the order of $O(10)$. Dupin et al. [18] were able to simulate blood flow in microchannels with a characteristic size up to 30 μm employing $O(10^2)$ RBCs. We have developed an efficient parallel code which employs the multiscale RBC model described in [20, 21]. This code allows us to simulate the total number of RBCs on the order of $O(10^4)$ at reasonable computational cost.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents details of the multiscale RBC model. Section 3 contains results of simulations of blood flow in tubes with diameters ranging from 10 μm to 40 μm for different hematocrit values. Flow velocity profiles, the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect, flow resistance, and the corresponding CFLs will be examined and compared with available experiments. We conclude in section 4 with a brief discussion.

2 Methods and models

RBCs and their enclosing and surrounding fluids are modeled within the Dissipative Particle Dynamics (DPD) method framework [22]. DPD is a mesoscopic particle-based simulation technique, where each particle represents a cluster of atoms or molecules rather than an individual atom. A detailed description of the DPD method can be found in the supporting material.

2.1 RBC membrane

The RBC membrane is represented by $N_v$ DPD particles with coordinates $\{x_i=1...N_v\}$ which are vertices of a two-dimensional triangulated network on the RBC surface [23, 21]. The network nodes are connected by $N_s$ springs with the potential energy as follows

$$V_s = \sum_{j=1...N_s} \left[ \frac{k_B T l_m (3x_j^2 - 2x_j^3)}{4p(1 - x_j)} + \frac{k_p}{(n - 1)l_j^{n-1}} \right],$$

where $l_j$ is the length of the spring $j$, $l_m$ is the maximum spring extension, $x_j = l_j/l_m$, $p$ is the persistence length, $k_B T$ is the energy unit, $k_p$ is the spring constant, and $n$ is a power. The above equation includes the attractive wormlike chain potential and a repulsive potential for $n > 0$ such that a non-zero equilibrium spring length can be imposed.

To incorporate the membrane viscosity into the RBC model a dissipative force is introduced for each spring. Following the general framework of the fluid particle model [24] we can
define dissipative $\mathbf{F}^D_{ij}$ and random $\mathbf{F}^R_{ij}$ forces for each spring, which satisfy the fluctuation-dissipation balance providing consistent temperature of the RBC membrane in equilibrium. The forces are given by

$$\mathbf{F}^D_{ij} = -\gamma^T \mathbf{v}_{ij} - \gamma^C (\mathbf{v}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{ij}) \mathbf{e}_{ij},$$

$$\mathbf{F}^R_{ij} dt = \sqrt{2k_B T} \left( \sqrt{2\gamma^T} d\mathbf{W}^S_{ij} + \sqrt{3\gamma^C - \gamma^T} tr[d\mathbf{W}_{ij}] \right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{ij},$$

where $\gamma^T$ and $\gamma^C$ are dissipative parameters, $\mathbf{v}_{ij}$ is the relative velocity of spring ends, $\mathbf{e}_{ij}$ is the unit vector along the spring, $tr[d\mathbf{W}_{ij}]$ is the trace of a random matrix of independent Wiener increments $d\mathbf{W}_{ij}$, and $d\mathbf{W}^S_{ij} = d\mathbf{W}_{ij} - tr[d\mathbf{W}_{ij}] \mathbf{1}/3$ is the traceless symmetric part.

The bending resistance of the RBC membrane is modeled by

$$V_b = \sum_{j \in 1...N_s} k_b \left[ 1 - \cos(\theta_j - \theta_0) \right],$$

where $k_b$ is the bending constant, $\theta_j$ is the instantaneous angle between two adjacent triangles having the common edge $j$, and $\theta_0$ is the spontaneous angle.

In addition, the RBC model includes the area and volume conservation constraints, which mimic area-incompressibility of the lipid bilayer and incompressibility of the interior fluid, respectively. The corresponding energy is given by

$$V_{a+v} = \sum_{j \in 1...N_t} k_d (A_j - A_0)^2 + \frac{k_a (A - A_0^{tot})^2}{2A_0^{tot}} + \frac{k_v (V - V_0^{tot})^2}{2V_0^{tot}},$$

where $N_t$ is the number of triangles in the membrane network, $A_0$ is the triangle area, and $k_d$, $k_a$ and $k_v$ are the local area, global area and volume constraint coefficients, respectively. The terms $A$ and $V$ are the total RBC area and volume, while $A_0^{tot}$ and $V_0^{tot}$ are the specified total area and volume, respectively. More details on the RBC model can be found in [21, 25], including aspects of coarse-graining of RBCs by varying $N_v$ from the spectrin level (about 30,000 points) to the coarsest level (100 points); most of the simulations here were performed with 500 points.

### 2.2 Membrane macroscopic properties

The relation of the parameters of triangulated surface models of membranes to the macroscopic parameters (shear, area-compression, and Young’s moduli) of the elasticity theory has been previously discussed in [26, 27, 28]. Extension of the linear analysis of [28] for a regular hexagonal network allows us to uniquely relate the model parameters and the network macroscopic elastic properties, see [21, 25] for details. The derived shear modulus of the membrane is given by

$$\mu_0 = \frac{\sqrt{3}k_B T}{4pl_{in}x_0} \left( \frac{x_0}{2(1-x_0)^3} - \frac{1}{4(1-x_0)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{3}k_p(n+1)}{4l_{in}^{n+1}},$$

where
where $l_0$ is the equilibrium spring length and $x_0 = l_0/l_m$. The area-compression $K$ and Young’s $Y$ moduli are equal to $2\mu_0 + k_a + k_d$ and $4K\mu_0/(K + \mu_0)$, respectively.

The relation between the model bending coefficient $k_b$ and the macroscopic bending rigidity $k_c$ of the Helfrich model [29] can be derived as $k_b = 2k_c/\sqrt{3}$ for a spherical membrane [21, 25]. This expression describes bending contribution of the energy in equation (4), but may not fully represent actual bending resistance of the RBC membrane since membrane bending may also result in local in-plane deformations.

The membrane shear viscosity $\eta_m$ is related to the dissipative parameters $\gamma^T, \gamma^C$ as $\eta_m = \sqrt{3}(\gamma^T + \gamma^C/4)$. Here $\gamma^T$ accounts for a large portion of viscous contribution, and hence $\gamma^C$ is set to $\gamma^T/3$ in all simulations.

In practice, the given macroscopic RBC properties serve as an input to be used to calculate the necessary mesoscopic model parameters from the equations above without any manual adjustment. A simulation of a RBC in equilibrium shows that the membrane may develop local bumps due to stress anomalies in a membrane triangulation since a network on a closed surface cannot consist of triangles whose edges have the same lengths. Such local stress artifacts depend on the network regularity and the ratio of the membrane elastic and bending contributions given by the Föppl-von Kármán number $\kappa = YR_0^2/k_c$, where $R_0 = \sqrt{A_0\mu}/(4\pi)$. To eliminate the stress artifacts we employ a “stress-free” model obtained by computational annealing. Thus, the equilibrium length $l_i^0$ of each spring is set to the edge length after triangulation for $i = 1, \ldots, N_s$ and the individual maximum spring extension is set to $l_i^m = l_i^0 \times x_0$ ($x_0$ is a constant). This modification provides a network free of local stress anomalies. The necessary spring parameters ($p$ and $k_p$) in equation (1) are calculated individually for each spring for given $\mu_0$ and $l_i^0$ using equation (6) and the property that the attractive wormlike-chain force is equal to the repulsive force at the equilibrium distance $l_i^0$.

The other model parameters are specified further in text.

### 2.3 RBC-fluid boundary conditions

Both internal and external fluids are simulated by a collection of free DPD particles and are separated by the RBC membrane through bounce-back reflections at the membrane surface. Moreover, a dissipative force between fluid particles and membrane vertices is set properly to account for the no-slip boundary conditions at the membrane surface. More details on boundary conditions can be found in the supporting material.

### 2.4 Scaling of model and physical units

Scaling between DPD model units ($M$) and physical units ($P$) adopts the following length and time scales

\[ r^M = \frac{D_0^P}{D_0^M} m, \quad \tau = \frac{D_0^P}{D_0^M} \frac{\eta^P}{\eta^M} \frac{Y^M}{Y^P} s, \quad (7) \]

where $r^M$ is the model unit of length, $D_0$ is the cell diameter, $m$ stands for meters, and $\eta$ is the characteristic viscosity (e.g., internal/external fluids or membrane). Additionally, we
can define scaling of the energy per unit mass \((k_B T)\) as follows
\[
(k_B T)^M = \frac{Y^P}{Y^M} \left( \frac{D_0^P}{D_0^M} \right)^2 (k_B T)^P. \tag{8}
\]

3 Results

This section presents results on modeling of blood flow in microtubes. Blood is modeled as a suspension of RBCs using the model described above. Blood flow is simulated in tubes of diameters ranging from 10 \(\mu m\) to 40 \(\mu m\). The properties of the modeled cell suspensions flowing through tubes and corresponding cell-free layers are evaluated for different flow rates and tube hematocrit values.

3.1 Modeling parameters

Blood is simulated with a number of RBCs suspended in a solvent. A single fluid for both external and internal solvents was used, so that particle reflections at the membrane surface were omitted. Turning off reflections of solvent particles at the RBC membranes results in a reduction of the computational cost by approximately two to three times. To approximate membrane impenetrability by solvent particles the DPD repulsive force between them and the membrane vertices of RBCs was employed. This does not fully guarantee separation of the internal and external fluids by the RBC membrane, but it nearly eliminates membrane crossing by solvent particles. To identify the effect of such a simplification we have run several simulations of blood flow in small tubes \((D = 10 \, \mu m)\) with the separation of the internal and the external fluids (through reflections), and no significant differences in the simulated blood properties with those obtained using the simplified model were found.

Suspended RBCs assumed the diameter \(D_0 = 7.82 \, \mu m\), the Young’s modulus \(Y_0 = 18.9 \, \mu N/m\), the bending rigidity \(2.4 \times 10^{-19} \, J\), and the membrane viscosity \(\eta_m = 0.022 \, Pa \cdot s\) which were verified through a number of mechanical, rheological, and dynamics tests on a single RBC in [20, 21]. We employed the RBC model with the following parameters: \(D_0^M = 8.06, \mu_0^M = 100, x_0 = 2.2, n = 2, k_a = 4900, k_d = 100, \) and \(k_v = 5000\). The DPD interactions among different particle types (solvent (S) and wall (W) particles, RBC vertices (V)) are presented in table 1. The random force coefficients for different interactions were obtained using the energy unit \(k_B T = 0.0945\) calculated according to the energy scale in equation (8). The RBC volume was assumed to be slightly larger than that of the triangulated network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>interaction</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>(\gamma)</th>
<th>(r_c)</th>
<th>(k(\text{DPD envelope}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-S and S-W</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-V, W-V</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-V</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: DPD simulation parameters in blood flow simulations.
\( V_0 = 92.45 \), since there exist repulsive interactions between solvent particles and RBC vertices resulting in a thin solvent-free layer next to the RBC membrane. The effective RBC volume was obtained from an analysis of the three-dimensional solvent distribution next to the membrane in an equilibrium simulation, and was set to \( V' = 105 \). Thus, the cell volume fraction or tube hematocrit was calculated as follows

\[
H_t = \frac{N_c V'}{V_t},
\]

where \( N_c \) is the number of RBCs in the volume \( V_t = \pi R^2 L \), \( R \) is the tube radius and \( L \) is the tube length.

RBCs are represented by 500 DPD particles forming a triangulated network with the characteristic length of each edge (spring) to be approximately 0.54 \( \mu m \). The solvent is simulated by a collection of free DPD particles which correspond to small fluid volumes of blood plasma. The fluid particles are “soft” volumes due to soft inter-particle interactions, and their effective radius can be estimated to be approximately 100 – 150 \( nm \) [30]. The simulated solvent provides hydrodynamic interactions among RBCs and between RBCs and the vessel walls. However, it does not apply any restriction on the simulated spatial resolution, since two RBCs are able to come closer than the effective diameter of the solvent particles. Therefore, this method can be successfully applied for blood flow simulations with relatively high hematocrit values such as \( H_t = 0.45 \).

Two types of excluded volume (EV) interactions among cells were considered. The first case is shown in table 1, where the repulsive force coefficient between membrane vertices \( a_{V-V} \) is set to 100. This method introduces a non-zero screening length between two membrane surfaces governed by the cutoff radius of the repulsive interactions \( r_c = 0.5 \). Hence, the choice of a smaller cutoff radius may result in overlapping of cells, while a larger one would increase the screening distance between cells which may be unphysical and may strongly affect the simulation results at high volume fractions of RBCs. The second method to enforce EV interactions among cells employed reflections of RBC vertices on the membrane surfaces of other cells. The repulsive force coefficient in this case was set to \( a_{V-V} = 2 \) yielding the screening length between two RBC surfaces to be virtually zero. These two methods of EV interactions will be called “repulsion” and “reflection”, respectively. In addition, several simulations employed a net repulsion of RBCs from the wall by setting the repulsive force coefficient between the wall particles and the cell vertices to \( a_{W-V} = 30 \). They employ “reflection” EV interactions and will be denoted as “wall force” further in text. This was done to minimize the effect of near wall density fluctuations of the suspending fluid, which influences simulation results of blood flow in small tubes (e.g., \( D = 10 \mu m \)). The relative performance of the three methods is discussed below.

The blood flow is driven by a uniform body force per unit mass \( (f) \) applied to both solvent and RBC particles in the flow direction. Such a force application is equivalent to the pressure gradient \( \Delta P/L = \rho f \), where \( \Delta P \) is the pressure drop over the tube length \( L \) and \( \rho \) is the suspension’s mass density. The wall is modeled by frozen DPD particles with the same radial distribution function as the fluid particles in combination with a specular reflection of the solvent and RBC particles at the fluid-wall interface. The number densities
of both solvent and wall were set to $n_S = n_W = 3$. In all simulations we assume periodic boundary conditions along the flow.

### 3.2 Blood velocity profiles

As an initial condition, RBCs are placed randomly within the tube. After the flow is turned on the simulations are run for a time that is long enough to achieve steady state which is characterized by a time independent fully developed velocity profile. The time to reach steady state is equal to approximately 1 s in physical units. After this time the RBC migration is considered to be fully achieved and blood flow measurements are performed.

RBCs in Poiseuille flow migrate towards the tube center forming a core in the flow. Figure 1 shows several sample trajectories of individual RBCs (left) and a snapshot of RBCs (right) flowing in a tube of diameter $D = 20 \, \mu m$ after steady state is achieved. A RBC core formation is clearly observed with a thin plasma layer or CFL (not shown) next to the tube walls. The cell core of the flow results in plug-like velocity profiles shown in figure 2 for tubes of diameters $D = 10 \, \mu m$ (left) and $D = 40 \, \mu m$ (right) for different $H_t$ values. Velocity profiles and subsequent number density distributions are shown over the half tube because of flow axisymmetry. Dashed lines in figure 2 correspond to the parabolic profiles of the Newtonian plasma in absence of the cells for the same pressure gradients. Velocity profiles are plotted in physical units, where the time scale was defined in equation (7) with $\eta = 0.0012 \, Pa \cdot s$ being the plasma viscosity. The velocity curves are averaged over the tube cross-section and over $2 \times 10^5$ time steps which corresponds to the total time of 0.1 seconds. The pressure gradients employed here are $2.633 \times 10^5 \, Pa/m$ and $6.582 \times 10^4 \, Pa/m$ for tubes of diameters 10 $\mu m$ and 40 $\mu m$, respectively. In the case of low $H_t$ (e.g., 0.15) the velocity profiles closely follow parabolic curves in the near-wall region. In the central region of the core formation is clearly observed with a thin plasma layer or CFL (not shown) next to the tube walls. The cell core of the flow results in plug-like velocity profiles shown in figure 2 for tubes of diameters $D = 10 \, \mu m$ (left) and $D = 40 \, \mu m$ (right) for different $H_t$ values. Velocity profiles and subsequent number density distributions are shown over the half tube because of flow axisymmetry. Dashed lines in figure 2 correspond to the parabolic profiles of the Newtonian plasma in absence of the cells for the same pressure gradients. Velocity profiles are plotted in physical units, where the time scale was defined in equation (7) with $\eta = 0.0012 \, Pa \cdot s$ being the plasma viscosity. The velocity curves are averaged over the tube cross-section and over $2 \times 10^5$ time steps which corresponds to the total time of 0.1 seconds. The pressure gradients employed here are $2.633 \times 10^5 \, Pa/m$ and $6.582 \times 10^4 \, Pa/m$ for tubes of diameters 10 $\mu m$ and 40 $\mu m$, respectively. In the case of low $H_t$ (e.g., 0.15) the velocity profiles closely follow parabolic curves in the near-wall region. In the central region of the tube.
In the figure, typical velocity profiles of blood flow in tubes of diameters $D = 10$ μm (left) and $D = 40$ μm (right) for different $H_t$ values employing “repulsion” EV interactions. Dashed lines show the corresponding parabolic profiles of the Newtonian plasma with no cells present for the same pressure gradients. Dotted lines indicate the corresponding CFL thicknesses.

For small tube diameters (e.g., $D = 10$ μm) we observe plug-flow profiles with a nearly flat velocity in the center, while for larger tubes the velocity profiles only slightly resemble plug-flow. Moreover, flat velocity profiles are extended to the tube walls for larger $H_t$ values indicating a wider RBC core and a smaller CFL. Table 2 presents blood flow characteristics for different tube diameters and $H_t$ values. The table includes mean flow velocities defined as $\bar{v} = Q/A$, mean shear rates $\tilde{\gamma} = \bar{v}/D$, pressure gradients $\Delta P/L$, centerline flow velocities $v_c$, and the corresponding centerline velocities $v_p$ of the Newtonian plasma in absence of the cells. The mean flow velocities increase for tubes having larger diameters with comparable shear rates.

The typical shear rates employed in in vitro and in vivo experiments are above $\dot{\gamma}_c = 30$ s$^{-1}$. The influence of shear rate on the apparent blood viscosity appears to be not significant for the average shear rates above $\dot{\gamma}_c$. At shear rates considerably lower than $\dot{\gamma}_c$ strong effects of shear rate on viscosity are expected [31], which is attributed to RBC sedimentation and aggregation properties. The shear rates employed in simulations are high enough to allow quantitative comparison of the blood flow properties with the available experimental data.

$$Q = \int_A v(r)dA,$$

where $A$ is the cross-sectional area. As the volume fraction of RBCs is increased the flow rate decreases for the same pressure gradient. For small fraction of RBCs $\mu_r = 0.15$, and $H_t = 0.45$ corresponding parabolic profiles of the Newtonian plasma with no cells present for the same pressure gradients. Dotted lines indicate the corresponding CFL thicknesses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H_t$</th>
<th>$D$ (µm)</th>
<th>$\bar{v}$ (mm/s)</th>
<th>$\gamma$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta P/L$ (Pa/m)</th>
<th>$v_c$ (mm/s)</th>
<th>$v_p$ (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>61.22</td>
<td>$2.63\times 10^4$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>47.03</td>
<td>$2.63\times 10^4$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>$2.63\times 10^3$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>$1.316\times 10^4$</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>46.64</td>
<td>$1.316\times 10^4$</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>28.32</td>
<td>$1.316\times 10^3$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>55.74</td>
<td>$6.582\times 10^4$</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>41.55</td>
<td>$6.582\times 10^4$</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>27.65</td>
<td>$6.582\times 10^4$</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Blood flow characteristics for different tube diameters and $H_t$ values employing “repulsion” EV interactions.

### 3.3 RBC and plasma distributions

Figure 3 shows center-of-mass distributions of RBCs in tubes of $D = 10$ µm (left) and $D = 40$ µm (right) for different cell volume fractions. The center-of-mass distributions for lower $H_t$ values appear to be more confined around the tube centerline. This results in a larger cell-free layer that can be roughly estimated as $R - r_{c=0}$, where $r_{c=0}$ is the radius at which the probability of finding RBCs becomes zero. Even though the RBC distributions are directly correlated with the width of the RBC core in Poiseuille flow, they do not precisely define a boundary between the CFL and the RBC core, since they correspond to measurements of cell centers. In section 3.6 this boundary is defined based on instantaneous measurements of the edge of the cell core with respect to the centerline. Note that for the case of $D = 40$ µm the
probability of finding a cell at \( r = 0 \) is very close to zero, which appears to be due to limited statistics in simulations since no cell centers may be located in the small neighborhood of \( r = 0 \) during the sampling time.

Figure 4 presents the number densities of the solvent normalized by their average values in tubes of diameters 10 \( \mu m \) (left) and 40 \( \mu m \) (right) for different \( H_t \) values. The average number density of solvent is given by \( n_s^{avg} = N_s/V_t \), where \( N_s \) is the total number of solvent particles in the volume \( V_t \). The plotted number density profiles show higher density values near the tube walls indicating the presence of CFL. In addition, density fluctuations found next to the tube walls become more pronounced at high \( H_t \) values and small tube diameters.

### 3.4 Fahraeus effect

The Fahraeus effect, discovered in \textit{in vitro} experiments of blood flow in glass tubes [32], shows an increased value of discharge hematocrit (\( H_d \)) measured at the tube exit in comparison with that of the cell suspension before the tube entrance. \( H_d \) is defined as the volume fraction of RBCs exiting a tube per unit time. This effect is directly correlated with cell migration to the tube centerline. Hence, the RBC core is moving faster than the average blood velocity resulting in an increased \( H_d \) value measured at the tube discharge. We define \( H_d \) in simulations as follows

\[
H_d = \frac{\bar{v}_c}{\bar{v}} H_t, \tag{11}
\]

where \( \bar{v} = Q/A \) and \( \bar{v}_c \) is the average cell velocity calculated by averaging over velocities of all cell vertices and also averaged in time after the stationary state is reached. Pries et al. [3] have compiled a number of experiments on blood flow through glass tubes of different
diameters at various $H_d$ values to obtain an empirical relation between $H_t$ and $H_d$ given by

$$\frac{H_t}{H_d} = H_d + (1 - H_d) \left( 1 + 1.7e^{-0.35D} - 0.6e^{-0.01D} \right),$$

(12)

where the tube diameter $D$ is in $\mu$m. Figure 5 compares $H_d$ values in simulations with those from experiments [3] for different tube diameters and cell volume fractions. The left figure corresponds to the “repulsion” EV interactions among the cells with the parameters in table 1 that result in a small screening distance between two RBC membrane surfaces discussed in section 3.1. The right plot contains simulation results obtained with “reflection” EV interactions yielding essentially no screening length among RBCs. Agreement between simulations and empirical values is excellent for low $H_t$ values, while discrepancies are found for large $H_t$ and small tube diameters ($D = 10 \mu$m). EV interactions appear to be of importance at $H_t = 0.45$ since the cells are closely packed. The introduced screening length among the cells with “repulsion” EV interactions results in a wider RBC core compared with that using “reflection” EV interactions. This yields a smaller CFL and flow rate (shown in the next section) and consequently a smaller $H_d$ value as seen in figure 5.

The remaining discrepancies for small tube diameters are due to solvent density fluctuations next to the wall as shown in figure 4. Figure 6 presents $H_d$ values from simulations using the “wall force” method (see section 3.1). Additional wall repulsion of RBCs reduces the effect of near-wall density fluctuations of blood plasma in tubes of small diameters yielding good agreement of the simulated $H_d$ values with those in in vitro experiments. Note that the repulsive wall does not affect the simulation results for low $H_t$ values and large tube diameters since the CFL is wider than the distance of the effective cell-wall interactions.

In conclusion, the “wall force” method yields superior results for $H_d$ in comparison with the other two considered approaches. The “repulsion” method for EV interactions introduces
a non-zero screening distance among RBCs, which may be unphysical. Cell-cell hydrodynamic interactions mediated by the simulated blood plasma are likely to be affected by this screening layer among the cells. The “reflection” approach eliminates the effect of the artificial screening layer to yield better results compared with the “repulsion” method. However, neither method “repulsion” or “reflection” apply any treatments of the existing solvent density fluctuations near the walls. The “wall force” method extends the “reflection” approach to minimize the effect of density fluctuations and proves to be the best approach.

3.5 Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect

The Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect [1] corresponds to a decrease in the apparent blood viscosity with decreasing tube diameter found in experiments of blood flow in glass tubes [3]. The apparent viscosity is defined as follows

$$\eta_{\text{app}} = \frac{\pi \Delta P D^4}{128Q_L} = \frac{\Delta P D^2}{32\bar{v} L}.$$  

(13)

The apparent viscosity increases for higher $H_t$ values since higher cell crowding yields larger flow resistance. It is more convenient to consider the relative apparent viscosity defined as

$$\eta_{\text{rel}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{app}}}{\eta_s},$$  

(14)

where $\eta_s$ is the solvent viscosity. Figure 7 shows the simulated $\eta_{\text{rel}}$ values in comparison with the empirical fit to experiments [3] for the tube diameter range 10–40 $\mu m$ and $H_t$ values in the
Figure 7: Relative apparent viscosity obtained with “repulsion” (left) and “reflection” (right) EV interactions in comparison with experimental data [3] for various $H_t$ values and tube diameters.

range $0.15 - 0.45$. Simulations in the left figure employed “repulsion” EV interactions. They significantly overpredict the relative apparent viscosity for $H_t = 0.45$ and tube diameters of $10 - 20 \mu m$. The “reflection” EV interactions in the right figure yield better correspondence between the simulated viscosities and those from the experiments since a non-zero screening length among the cells is not implied \textit{a priori}. These results are consistent with the $H_d$ values discussed in the previous section. In addition, the remaining discrepancies in $\eta_{rel}$ for $H_t = 0.45$ are due to the near-wall density fluctuations (figure 4) of the suspending plasma mentioned in section 3.4. To minimize influence of the solvent fluctuations an analogous set of simulations was performed with the “wall force” method shown in figure 8. Excellent agreement between simulations and experiments was found for this case. The “wall force” method appears to be the best among three considered approaches, which is consistent with the $H_d$ values discussed in section 3.4 and with the corresponding CFLs presented next.

3.6 Cell-free layer (CFL)

The CFL is a near-wall layer of blood plasma absent of RBCs (see figure 1) since they are subject to migration to the tube center in Poiseuille flow. The fluid viscosity of the CFL region is much smaller than that of the tube core populated with RBCs providing an effective lubrication for the core to flow. The thickness of the CFL is directly related to the Fahraeus and the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effects. Thus, in small tubes the CFL thickness is significant with respect to the tube diameter resulting in a smaller relative apparent viscosity and larger $H_d$ in comparison with those in larger tubes, where the CFL thickness becomes negligible with respect to the tube diameter.

To determine the CFL thickness $\delta$ we measured the outer edge of the RBC core (see figure 1), which is similar to CFL measurements in experiments [9, 10]. Figure 9 shows a sample CFL edge (left) from simulations and CFL thickness distribution (right) for $H_t = 0.45$. 
Figure 8: Relative apparent viscosity obtained with the “wall force” setup in comparison with experimental data [3] for different $H_t$ values and tube diameters.

and $D = 20 \mu m$. The cell edge was measured by projecting the cell vertices of a snapshot

Figure 9: An example of a CFL edge (left) and CFL thickness distribution (right) for $H_t = 0.45$ and $D = 20 \mu m$.

of RBCs on the $x - y$ plane, where curves of the RBC core minimum and maximum were fitted. Discrete samples of $\delta$ from the obtained curves were taken every 0.5 $\mu m$ along the flow direction $x$. In addition, several cell edge curves were extracted from a single snapshot by rotating the RBC core before projection on the $x - y$ plane, and a number of core snapshots corresponding to different times was averaged. A steep beginning of the CFL thickness
distribution in figure 9 (right) characterizes the global minimum of the RBC core, while the slowly decaying tail corresponds to a number of cavities in the RBC core formed by two or more adjacent RBCs as shown in figure 9 (left). To characterize variations in CFL thickness the standard deviation (SD) $\sigma_\delta$ was calculated. Also, the persistence of spatial variation was obtained by calculation of the correlation length of the cell edge pattern given by

$$C(d) = \langle (\delta(x) - \bar{\delta})(\delta(x + d) - \bar{\delta}) \rangle,$$

where $C(d)$ is the covariance of $(\delta(x) - \bar{\delta})$ and $(\delta(x + d) - \bar{\delta})$, $\bar{\delta}$ is the average CFL, and $d$ is the separation length. The covariance decreases to zero with increasing $d$, and if $C(d') \approx 0$, then $d'$ is the correlation length of the cell edge pattern. Table 3 presents the simulated correlation lengths for different $H_t$ values and tube diameters. The correlation length of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>$H_t = 0.15$</th>
<th>$H_t = 0.3$</th>
<th>$H_t = 0.45$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10 \mu m$</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20 \mu m$</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40 \mu m$</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Correlation lengths of the cell edge pattern for different tube diameters and $H_t$ values.

the cell edge pattern increases for larger $H_t$ since the cell core is denser. Note that in case of $H_t = 0.15$ the correlation length is comparable with the cell diameter indicating small correlations among separate cells. The length $d'$ appears to be independent of the tube diameter, in agreement with in vivo experiments [10] for the vessel diameter range of $20 - 50 \mu m$. Furthermore, $d'$ values found in [10] for $H_t = 0.42$ are in the range of $25 - 35 \mu m$, which is consistent with the simulated values of $27 - 28 \mu m$ for $H_t = 0.45$ in table 3.

Figure 10 shows CFLs for different tube diameters and cell volume fractions obtained using the “repulsion” and “reflection” EV interactions (left) and the “wall force” method in comparison with in vitro experiments [2] and in vivo experiments [9, 10] (right). The simulated CFLs are consistent with the described Fahraeus and Fahraeus-Lindqvist effects. CFLs are wider for lower $H_t$ values and larger tube diameters indicating migration of RBCs to the tube centerline. CFL thicknesses for different EV interactions in figure 10 (left) seem to have insignificant discrepancies. This appears to be true for larger tube diameters and lower cell volume fractions in agreement with the analogous independence of the relative apparent viscosity of a RBC suspension on EV interactions found in section 3.5. However, in case of small tube diameters and high $H_t$ values even a small change in the CFL thickness may result in a considerable increase of the relative apparent viscosity. As an example, for the case of $D = 10 \mu m$ and $H_t = 0.45$ the CFLs are $1.19 \mu m$, $1.3 \mu m$, and $1.45 \mu m$ for the “repulsion”, “reflection” EV interactions, and the “wall force” method, respectively, while the corresponding $\eta_{rel}$ values are $2.4$, $2.002$, and $1.6$ (figures 7 and 8) showing a significant decrease.

A comparison of the simulated CFLs and those obtained in experiments in figure 10 (right) shows partial agreement. Note that our simulations mimic blood flow in rigid and
long tubes. Thus, the CFL measurements are carried out after the stationary state is reached and cell migration can be neglected. Bugliarello and Sevilla [33] performed experiments of blood flow in glass tubes at various $H_d$ values shown by the “x” symbols in figure 10 (right). The CFLs obtained in these experiments appear to be close to the simulated values if we calculate the corresponding $H_t$ values ($H_t = 0.14$ and $H_t = 0.31$) from equation (12). Reinke et al. [2] conducted in vitro experiments of blood flow at $H_d = 0.45$ in glass tubes. The obtained CFL value for $D = 31 \, \mu m$, $H_d = 0.45$ suitable for comparison (diamond symbol in figure 10 (right)) shows good agreement with simulations. Note that the simulated CFLs lie within the range of a scatter in the available in vitro experimental data on CFLs. We are not aware of any other in vitro experimental measurements of CFLs suitable for comparison.

CFLs from in vivo experiments [9, 10] plotted in figure 10 (right) show satisfactory agreement with simulations for high $H_t$ values ($H_t = 0.42 - 0.45$), while for low $H_t$ the correspondence is rather poor. In fact, available in vivo measurements of CFLs show a scatter in the results. Yamaguchi et al. [34] found the CFL in cat cerebral microvessels to be approximately $4 \, \mu m$ (not shown in figure 10 (right)) and independent of vessel diameter. Maeda et al. [9] reported CFLs in the range of $1 - 1.8 \, \mu m$ for vessel diameters $10 - 40 \, \mu m$ at $H_t = 0.45$, where a rabbit mesentery was perfused. Kim et al. [10] obtained CFLs in the range of $0.5 - 3 \, \mu m$ increasing from the diameter $10 \, \mu m$ to $50 \, \mu m$ at $H_t = 0.42$ where a rat cremaster muscle was used. The variability of in vivo measurements of the CFL and their discrepancies with simulations shown in figure 10 (right) may be due to several reasons such as the existence of the glycocalyx layer, variations in vessel width, use of a short vessel, close proximity of the site of CFL measurements to vessel bifurcations, vessel elasticity, and spatial resolution of the measurements.

The thickness of the glycocalyx layer is estimated to be on the order of $0.4 - 0.5 \, \mu m$ [35, 36]. The experimental data of Kim et al. [10] shown in figure 10 (right) include the
glycocalyx thickness and yield satisfactory agreement with simulations. Subtracting its average thickness from the experimental CFL values would result in very thin CFLs especially for small vessel diameters ($D = 10 \, \mu m$) and yield further discrepancies in comparison with simulations. The other mentioned experimental sources do not explicitly state whether the thickness of the glycocalyx layer was included into CFL measurements. The largest discrepancies between simulated CFLs and those measured in *in vivo* experiments are on the order of the glycocalyx thickness for $H_t = 0.42 - 0.45$, while for lower $H_t$ values discrepancies appear to be more significant.

Variations in vessel diameter may locally alter blood flow and impact CFL measurements. Maeda et al. [9] reported spatial variations in the vessel diameter on the order of several percent, while Kim et al. [10] estimated a potential error in CFL measurements to be approximately 10% due to the change in the vessel diameter. Even though variations in the vessel diameter seem to have a rather insignificant effect on CFL measurements, it may influence migration of RBCs towards the center of the vessel which is the main mechanism for CFL development. Moreover, RBC migration strongly depends on the vessel length and flow non-uniformity due to nearby vessel bifurcations. The perfused vessel length was not specified in experiments and it may not be long enough to allow RBCs to fully migrate to the vessel center and to form a steady core of the flow. In simulations, periodic boundary conditions in the direction of the tube axes were employed, which is essentially equivalent to the infinite length of the tube, and complete migration of RBCs can be considered to be fully achieved. Incomplete migration of RBCs in short vessels would result in the smaller CFLs found in experiments [9] in comparison with those in simulations (figure 10 (right)).

Upstream flow conditions such as vessel bifurcations affect the width of the CFL [8, 37]. Kim et al. [10] reported a non-axisymmetric nature of CFL variations on opposite sides of the arteriole with a difference of 0.5 $\mu m$ in the mean width of CFLs on the two sides. This difference in CFLs was attributed to a possible effect of upstream bifurcations. Note that the simulated CFLs here are found to be axisymmetric. Pries et al. [8] reported that an axisymmetric cell profile is recovered after at least ten vessel diameters downstream of a bifurcation. However, this distance may not be long enough to account for full RBC migration. At high $H_t$ values CFLs may not be very sensitive to the discussed geometrical uncertainties present in the *in vivo* experiments, while at low $H_t$ they may significantly affect measured CFLs.

The CFLs obtained by Maeda et al. [9] plotted in figure 10 (right) are smaller than those obtained in the simulations. In accordance, the reported values of the relative apparent viscosity for the hardened vessels of diameters in the range of $25 - 35 \, \mu m$ were 1.63, 2.0, and 2.83 for $H_t = 0.16, 0.3$, and 0.45, respectively. These viscosities are considerably higher than those found in *in vitro* experiments [3] (1.29, 1.65, and 2.19 for $D = 30 \, \mu m$ and $H_t = 0.15, 0.3$, and 0.45), which provides an additional explanation for the discrepancies found. Finally, spatial resolution of the experimental measurements may contribute to the discrepancies between the simulated CFLs and those obtained in *in vivo* experiments. Kim et al. [10] reported that their spatial resolution was 0.4 $\mu m$, which is on the order of the thickness of the glycocalyx layer, while the spatial resolution was not specified in [9].

Figure 11 (left) presents SD values $\sigma_\delta$ of the CFL thickness. Spatial variations of the
CFL thickness characterized by $\sigma_3$ appear to increase with tube diameter in agreement with experiments [10]. The observed discrepancies between simulated and experimental SD values may be due to the differences discussed in the previous paragraph. The obtained SD values of the CFL thickness appear to be independent of $H_t$ and a model selected for EV interactions among cells (not shown here). Figure 11 (right) shows CFLs at $H_t = 0.45$ for different tube diameters and shear rates $\dot{\gamma}$. The CFL thickness is slightly decreasing with increasing shear rate or equivalently flow rate. This is consistent with experiments [10], where the same trend was found for the range of shear rates $100 - 500 \, \text{s}^{-1}$. A decrease in the CFL thickness for higher flow rates is attributed to intensified hydrodynamic interactions among cells at higher shear rates that tend to expand the RBC core of the flow. Note that a decrease in the CFL thickness in this case may not necessarily result in an increase of the relative apparent viscosity because it corresponds to a characteristic viscosity of a RBC suspension averaged over the tube cross-section. A suspension of RBCs shows a shear-thinning behavior with shear-dependent viscosity.

4 Summary

Blood is modeled as a suspension of RBCs in a Newtonian fluid. Blood flow in microtubes under healthy conditions is simulated for different $H_t$ values and tube diameters. Velocity profiles for different $H_t$ values show an increase in blood flow resistance with an increase in $H_t$. RBC center-of-mass distributions indicate cell migration away from the wall to the tube center. This results in the formation of a CFL next to the tube wall and is related to the experimentally observed Fahraeus and Fahraeus-Lindqvist effects.

The Fahraeus effect is characterized by a decrease in the discharge hematocrit when the tube diameter is increased, while the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect describes a decrease in the
blood apparent viscosity with decreasing tube diameter. The developed blood flow model is able to closely capture changes in the discharge hematocrit and the relative apparent viscosity for \( H_t \) values in the range 0.15 – 0.45 and tube diameters between 10 \( \mu m \) and 40 \( \mu m \) in comparison with those found in \textit{in vitro} experiments.

Fahraeus and Fahraeus-Lindqvist effects are directly related to the CFL next to the wall by providing an effective lubrication for the viscous RBC core to flow at much less friction. The simulated CFLs are in agreement with those found in \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} experiments; however, experimental CFL values show a considerable scatter which does not allow a very rigorous quantitative comparison. Discrepancies between the simulated CFLs and \textit{in vitro} data are likely to be related to a potential RBC sedimentation, RBC aggregability, and differences in flow rates employed, while blood flow conditions \textit{in vivo} are far more complex. In particular, CFLs \textit{in vivo} are additionally affected by the presence of the glycocalyx layer, variations in vessel diameter, upstream flow conditions such as vessel bifurcations, and the length of a straight vessel segment where measurements are taken.

In conclusion, the developed model is able to capture blood flow properties and hence it may aid to obtain realistic predictions of blood flow in microcirculation.
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